# PHOSPHORIBOSYL-5-AMINO-4-IMIDAZOLECARBOXAMIDE FORMYLTRANSFERASE ACTIVITY IN THE ADENINE-HISTIDINE AUXOTROPH AD-3 OF S. CEREVISIAE

Elizabeth W. Jones and Boris Magasanik
Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts

# Received October 25, 1967

Point mutations of the <u>ad-3</u> locus in the yeast <u>Saccharomyces</u> cerevisiae cause a simultaneous requirement for adenine and histidine. The <u>ad-3</u> mutants have been shown to be deficient in three enzymes involved in synthesis and interconversion of one-carbon adducts of tetrahydrofolic acid (Jones and Magasanik, 1967). As a result of this lesion the mutants have no single carbon derivatives of tetrahydrofolate at the formate level of oxidation.

Mazlen and Eaton (1967) have recently reported that <u>ad-3</u> mutants lack phosphoribosyl-5-amino-4-imidazolecarboxamide (AICAR) formyl-transferase. It is the purpose of this report to show that activity for AICAR formyltransferase is present in extracts of ad-3 mutants. Activity can only be seen, however, if 10-formyltetrahydrofolate (the requisite coenzyme for this reaction) is provided in the reaction mixture. Failure to demonstrate activity for this reaction if other one-carbon donors are provided supports our previous finding that the <u>ad-3</u> mutant is unable to convert these derivatives to 10-formyl-tetrahydrofolate.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast were grown aerobically at 30 C to late exponential

phase in a synthetic minimal medium (Manney, 1964) supplemented with adenine, histidine, tryptophan, and uracil at 20 mg/liter and leucine at 120 mg/liter. The cells (1.4 gm. wet weight) were harvested, washed, and frozen. The frozen cells were disrupted in a Hughes press, suspended in an equal volume of 0.1M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, and the mixture was centrifuged at 25,000 x g for twenty minutes. The supernatant was dialyzed for 18 hours against 0.01M phosphate buffer, pH 7.5.

AICAR formyltransferase and inosinic acid cyclohydrolase were assayed as described by Flaks and Lukens (1963).

Chemicals were obtained from the following sources: d,1-Ltetrahydrofolic acid (FH<sub>1</sub>) from Sigma Chemical Company and calcium
5-formyl-tetrahydrofolate from American Cyanamid. 5, 10-Methenyltetrahydrofolic acid was prepared from calcium 5-formyltetrahydrofolate
according to Rabinowitz and Pricer (1956). 10-Formyltetrahydrofolate
was prepared from 5, 10-methenyltetrahydrofolic acid by incubating
a solution of the latter compound in 2 x 10<sup>-2</sup>M Tris buffer, pH 8.5,
for two hours at room temperature. The stock solution of tetrahydrofolate was at a concentration of 10 mg./ml. in 0.04M mercaptoethanol,
pH 6.8. AICAR was prepared according to Levin (1959) and was converted
to the potassium salt immediately before use; 5-phosphoribosyl-5formamido-4-imidazolecarboxamide (FAICAR) was synthesized according
to Flaks, Erwin and Buchanan (1957).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The specific activity for AICAR formyltransferase in the presence and absence of tetrahydrofolate and its derivatives is given for the wild type yeast in Table I. There is activity for this enzyme in the absence of added one-carbon derivatives. Tetrahydrofolate itself does not stimulate the reaction. Rather it causes a diminution in activity.

The addition to the incubation mixture of a single carbon adduct of  $\mathrm{FH}_{\downarrow}$ , be it 5-formyl, 10-formyl or 5, 10-methenyl  $\mathrm{FH}_{\downarrow}$ , or of  $\mathrm{FH}_{\downarrow}$ , formate and ATP, the substrates of 10-formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase, stimulates the reaction. If the extracts are pretreated with charcoal to remove tetrahydrofolate derivatives, the endogenous rate is reduced and the reaction shows a greater dependence on added single carbon donors.

Table I

Dependence of AICAR formyltransferase activity on added single carbon donors in wild type and ad-3 mutant yeast.

The reaction mixture contained in a volume of 0.6 ml.: potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 30  $\mu$ moles; AICAR, 0.13  $\mu$ moles; approximately 3 mg of protein; and where indicated 10-formyl FH<sub>h</sub>, 0.25  $\mu$ moles; 5, 10-methenyl FH<sub>h</sub>, 0.25  $\mu$ moles; 5-formyl FH<sub>h</sub>, 0.24  $\mu$ moles; FH<sub>h</sub>, 0.18  $\mu$ moles; sodium formate, 30  $\mu$ moles; ATP, 1.5  $\mu$ moles. Where ATP was present the reaction mixture contained 6  $\mu$ moles of MgCl<sub>2</sub>. The specific enzyme activity is expressed as mumoles AICAR utilized/hr. per mg protein.

m. bei mg broceiu.	Wild	Wild type	<b>a</b> d-3
Additions	type	charcoal treated	mutant
none	4.5	3•3	0
FH <sub>4</sub>	2.7	0	0
FH <sub>4</sub> + Na formate + ATP	13.5	11.1	0
5-formyl FH <sub>4</sub> + ATP*	16.7	8.4	0
5, 10-methenyl FH <sub>4</sub>	10.7	9•3	2.2
10-formyl FH <sub>4</sub>	6.0	14.7	11.1

<sup>\*</sup>ATP is required for the conversion of 5-formyl FH<sub>4</sub> to 5, 10-methenyl FH<sub>4</sub> (Peters and Greenberg, 1958).

The <u>ad-3</u> mutant has no activity in the absence of added single carbon donors, a result in agreement with the postulate that these organisms possess no derivatives of tetrahydrofolate at the formate level of oxidation. Tetrahydrofolate has no effect on the reaction, whether or not a mixture of sodium formate and ATP is present. It is also clear that 5-formyl FH<sub>4</sub> will not serve as a one-carbon donor for the formyltransferase reaction in the <u>ad-3</u> mutant, though it will serve as one-carbon donor in the wild type.

The mutant exhibits apparently full activity for the enzyme when 10-formyl  $FH_{\downarrow \downarrow}$ , the actual cofactor for the reaction (Hartman and Buchanan, 1959), is included in the reaction mixture. About 20% of normal activity is seen when 5, 10-methenyl  $FH_{\downarrow \downarrow}$  is the donor. At neutral pH and higher, 5, 10-methenyl  $FH_{\downarrow \downarrow}$  is non-enzymatically cleaved to give 10-formyl  $FH_{\downarrow \downarrow}$ . As the pH of these assays was 7.4, the activity seen with the 5, 10-methenyl  $FH_{\downarrow \downarrow}$  may be attributable to the 10-formyl  $FH_{\downarrow \downarrow}$  produced non-enzymatically.

These results indicate that <u>ad-3</u> mutants do indeed possess activity for AICAR formyltransferase. They also support our previous work indicating that the mutants lack 10-formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase, 5, 10-methenyltetrahydrofolate cyclohydrolase, and have greatly reduced levels of 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase, three enzymes responsible for synthesis and interconversion of formyltetrahydrofolate derivatives (Jones and Magasanik, 1967).

The discrepancy between our results and those of Mazlen and Eaton, who failed to observe activity for AICAR formyltransferase in <a href="mailto:ad-3">ad-3</a>
mutants, may reside in their choice of single carbon donor. The position of the formyl moiety in their formyltetrahydrofolate is never specified in their paper.\*

Once the formyl group is introduced into the AICAR molecule chemically to yield FAICAR, the wild type and the <u>ad-3</u> mutants act on this compound at similar rates to convert it to inosinic acid. The reaction mixture contained in a volume of 0.6 ml.; Tris buffer, pH 7.4, 30 µmoles; FAICAR, 0.13 µmoles and 0.3 to 0.4 mg protein. Specific activities for the IMP cyclohydrolase reaction, expressed in mµmoles FAICAR utilized per hr. per mg. protein were 948 and 1122 for the wild type and mutant respectively.

<sup>\*</sup>Calcium 5-formyltetrahydrofolate is the commercially available product.

In summary, activity for AICAR formyltransferase can be demonstrated in an <u>ad-3</u> mutant of <u>S. cerevisiae</u>. This activity is dependent on the presence of the requisite cofactor, 10-formyl  $\mathrm{FH}_{\downarrow}$ . The wild type yeast, possessing as it does the enzymes for interconverting various derivatives of  $\mathrm{FH}_{\downarrow}$ , is able to utilize a variety of single carbon donors for this reaction.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the U.S. Public Health Service (CAO5210 and GMO7446) and from the National Science Foundation (GB5322X).

# REFERENCES

Flaks, J.G., Erwin, M.J., and Buchanan, J.M., J. Biol. Chem. 229, 603 (1957).

Flaks, J.G., and Lukens, L.N., Methods in Enzymology VI:89 Colowick, S.P., and Kaplan, N.O. Ed. Academic Press (1963).

Hartman, S.C., and Buchanan, J.M., J. Biol. Chem. 234, 1812 (1959).

Jones, E.W., and Magasanik, B., Bact. Proc., 127 (1967).

Levin, Alfred P., Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University (1959).

Manney, Thomas, R., Genetics 50, 109 (1964).

Mazlen, A.S. and Eaton, N.R., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 17, 590 (1967).

Peters, J.M. and Greenberg, D.M., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 2719 (1958).

Rabinowitz, J.C. and Pricer, W.E., Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78, 4176 (1956).